

Exponential Splitting for Nonautonomous Linear Discrete-Time Systems in Banach Spaces

Mihail Megan^{a,b}, Ioan-Lucian Popa^c

^a*Academy of Romanian Scientists, Independenței 54, 050094 Bucharest, Romania*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, West University of Timișoara, V. Pârvan Blv. No. 4, 300223-Timișoara, Romania*

^c*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences and Engineering, University "1 Decembrie" 1918 of Alba Iulia, Alba Iulia, 510009, Romania*

Abstract

In this paper we consider some concepts of exponential splitting for nonautonomous linear discrete-time systems. These concepts are generalizations of some well-known concepts of (uniform and nonuniform) exponential dichotomies. Connections between these concepts are presented and some illustrating examples prove that these are distinct.

Keywords: nonautonomous linear discrete-time systems, exponential splitting, strong exponential splitting

2010 MSC: 34D05, 39A05

1. Introduction

The notion of exponential dichotomy introduced by O. Perron for differential equations in [19] and by Ta Li [25] for difference equations plays a central role in a large part of the theory of dynamical systems.

The notion of dichotomy for differential equations has gained prominence since the appearance of two fundamental monographs of J.L. Massera, J.J. Schäffer [17] and J.L. Daleckii, M.G. Krein [13]. These were followed by the important book of W.A. Coppel [12] who synthesized and improved the results that existed in the literature up to 1978.

The interest in the counterpart results in difference equations appeared in the paper of C.V. Coffman and J.J. Schäffer [11] and later, in 1981 when D.

Email addresses: megan@math.uvt.ro (Mihail Megan), lucian.popa@uab.ro (Ioan-Lucian Popa)

Henry included discrete dichotomies in his book [14]. This was followed by the classical monographs due to R.P. Agarwal [1] where the dichotomy properties of discrete-time systems are studied. Significant work was reported by C. Pötzsche in [23]. Notable contributions in dichotomy theory of discrete-time systems has been also obtained in ([10],[15],[16],[18],[20],[21],[22],[24],[26]).

The most important dichotomy concept used in the qualitative theory of dynamical systems is the uniform exponential dichotomy. In some situations, particularly in the nonautonomous setting, the concept of uniform exponential dichotomy is too restrictive and it is important to consider more general behaviors.

Two different perspectives can be identified to generalize the concept of uniform exponential dichotomy, one can define dichotomies that depends on the initial time (and therefore are nonuniform) and, on the other hand, one can consider growth rates which do not imply an exponential dichotomy behavior, in particular exponential splitting.

The first approach leads to concepts of nonuniform exponential (respectively polynomial) dichotomies for difference equations and can be found in the works of L. Barreira, C. Valls ([5], [6]), A. Bento, C. Silva ([8], [9],) and L. Barreira, M. Fan, C. Valls and Z. Jimin [4].

The second approach is presented in the papers of B. Aulbach, J. Kalbrenner [2], B. Aulbach S. Siegmund [3].

In this paper we consider two concepts of exponential splitting for linear discrete-time systems in Banach spaces. These concepts use two ideas of projections sequences: invariant and strongly invariant for the respective discrete-time system, (although, in case of invertible systems, they are equivalent). These two types of projections sequences are distinct even in the finite dimensional case. For each of these concepts (exponential splitting and strong exponential splitting) we consider three important particular cases: uniform exponential splitting, exponential dichotomy and uniform exponential dichotomy respectively, uniform strong exponential splitting, strong exponential dichotomy, and uniform strong exponential dichotomy. We give characterizations of these concepts and present connections (implications and counterexamples) between them.

We note that we consider difference equations whose right-hand sides are not supposed to be invertible and the splitting concepts studied in this paper use the evolution operators in forward time. The study of noninvertible systems is of great importance and in this sense we point out the paper of B. Aulbach and J. Kalkbrenner [2], where is introduced the notion of exponential forward splitting, motivated by the fact that there are differential equations whose backward solutions are not guaranteed to ex-

ist. This approach is of interest in applications, see for example, dynamical systems generated by random parabolic equations, are not invertible (for more details see L. Zhou et al. [27]). Also, considering asymptotic rates of the form $e^{c\rho(n)}$, where $\rho : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function, which thus may correspond to infinite Lyapunov exponents, we obtain a concept of nonuniform exponential splitting which does not assume exponential boundedness of the splitting projections, and not only the usual exponential behavior with $\rho(n) = n$. For more details regarding the arbitrary growth rates we may refer to [7]. Also, we prove that in the particular case when the splitting projections are exponentially bounded then the two splitting concepts presented in this paper are equivalent.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a Banach space and $B(X)$ the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on X . The norms on X and on $B(X)$ will be denoted by $\| \cdot \|$. The identity operator on X is denoted by I . If $A \in B(X)$ then we shall denote by $\text{Ker } A$ the kernel of A i.e.

$$\text{Ker } A = \{x \in X \text{ with } Ax = 0\}$$

respectively

$$\text{Range } A = \{Ax \text{ with } x \in X\}.$$

We also denote by Δ the set of all pairs of all natural numbers (m, n) with $m \geq n$ i.e.

$$\Delta = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \text{ with } m \geq n\}.$$

We also consider

$$T = \{(m, n, p) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \text{ with } m \geq n \geq p\}.$$

We consider the linear discrete-time system

$$x_{n+1} = A_n x_n, \tag{21}$$

where (A_n) is a sequence in $B(X)$. We associate to the system (21) the map

$$A_m^n = \begin{cases} A_{m-1} \cdots A_n, & \text{if } m > n \\ I, & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}$$

which is called the *evolution operator associated to (21)*.

It is obvious that

$$A_m^n A_n^p = A_m^p, \text{ for all } (m, n, p) \in T$$

and every solution of $(\mathfrak{A})$ satisfies

$$x_m = A_m^n x_n \text{ for all } (m, n) \in \Delta.$$

If for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator A_n is invertible then the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ is called *reversible*.

Definition 1. A sequence $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B(X)$ is called a *projections sequence* if

$$P(n)^2 = P(n), \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In what follows we denote $P(n) = P_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1. If P is a projections sequence then $Q = I - P$ is also a projections sequence (which is called *the complementary projections sequence of P*) with

$$\text{Ker } Q_n = \text{Range } P_n \text{ and } \text{Range } Q_n = \text{Ker } P_n$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $Q_n = Q(n)$.

Definition 2. A projection sequence P is called *invariant* for the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ if

$$A_n P_n = P_{n+1} A_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 2. If P is invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ then its complementary Q is invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$. Furthermore we have

$$A_m^n P_n = P_m A_m^n \text{ and } A_m^n Q_n = Q_m A_m^n$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$.

Remark 3. If P is invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ then

$$A_m^n (\text{Ker } P_n) \subset \text{Ker } P_m \text{ and } A_m^n (\text{Range } P_n) \subset \text{Range } P_m$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$.

Definition 3. A projections sequence P is called *exponentially bounded* if there are $M, p \geq 1$ such that

$$\| P_n \| \leq M p^n, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

In the particular case when $p = 1$, P is called *bounded*.

Remark 4. A projections sequence P is exponentially bounded if and only if there are $M, \omega \geq 1$ such that

$$\| P_n \| \leq M e^{\omega n}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1. Let P and R be two projections sequences with complementary Q respectively S and with the property

$$\text{Range } P_n = \text{Range } R_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$(r_1) \ P_n R_n = R_n;$$

$$(r_2) \ R_n P_n = P_n;$$

$$(r_3) \ Q_n S_n = Q_n = (I + R_n - P_n) S_n;$$

$$(r_4) \ S_n Q_n = S_n = (I + P_n - R_n) Q_n;$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (r_1) If $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is $x_0 \in X$ with $R_n x = P_n x_0$. Then $P_n R_n x = P_n^2 x_0 = P_n x_0 = R_n x_0$.

(r_2) It follows from (r_1) .

(r_3) We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (I + R_n - P_n) S_n &= (R_n + Q_n) S_n = Q_n S_n = (I - P_n)(I - R_n) = \\ &= I - P_n = Q_n. \end{aligned}$$

(r_4) It follows from (r_3) by changing P_n with R_n . □

Definition 4. A sequence of projections P is called *strongly invariant* for the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ if P is invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$ the restriction of A_m^n at $\text{Ker } P_n$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Ker } P_n$ to $\text{Ker } P_m$.

Remark 5. If the projections sequence P is invariant for the reversible system $(\mathfrak{A})$ then it is also strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$.

Indeed, if P is invariant for the reversible system $(\mathfrak{A})$ then A_m^n is injective and

$$A_m^n(\text{Ker } P_n) \subset \text{Ker } P_m, \text{ for all } (m, n) \in \Delta.$$

Moreover, for every $y \in \text{Ker } P_m$ we have that

$$y = A_m^n (A_m^n)^{-1} y \text{ with } x = (A_m^n)^{-1} y \in \text{Ker } P_n.$$

Thus A_m^n is surjective, which implies that P is strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$.

Remark 6. If the projections sequence P is strongly invariant for the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ then there exists $B : \Delta \rightarrow B(X)$, $B(m, n) = B_m^n$ such that B_m^n is an isomorphism from $\text{Ker } P_m$ to $\text{Ker } P_n$ and

$$(b_1) \quad A_m^n B_m^n Q_m = Q_m;$$

$$(b_2) \quad B_m^n A_m^n Q_n = Q_n;$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$.

The application B is called *the skew-evolution operator* associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, P)$.

Remark 7. If the projections sequence P is invariant for the reversible system $(\mathfrak{A})$ then it is strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and the skew-evolution operator associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, P)$ is

$$B_m^n = (A_m^n)^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \Delta.$$

For nonreversible systems there are invariant projections sequences which are not strongly invariant. This fact is illustrated by

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ and let (P_n) be the projections sequence defined by

$$P_n(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} (x_1, x_2, 0) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (x_1 + \frac{x_2}{2^{n-1}}, 0, 0) & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $(\mathfrak{A})$ be the linear discrete-time system generated by the sequence

$$A_n(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (2x_1, a_n x_2, 4x_3)$$

where

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 4 & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X.$$

It is easy to see that the evolution operator associated to system $(\mathfrak{A})$ is given by

$$A_m^n(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} (2^m x_1, 0, 4^m x_3) & \text{if } m > n = 0 \\ (2^{m-n} x_1, 4^{m-n} x_2, 4^{m-n} x_3) & \text{if } m > n \geq 1 \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$ and all $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X$. We observe that

$$A_n P_n(x_1, x_2, x_3) = P_{n+1} A_n(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} (2x_1, 0, 0) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (2x_1 + 2^{2-n} x_2, 0, 0) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and hence (P_n) is invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$. It is not strongly invariant because A_1^0 is not an isomorphism from $\text{Ker } P_0$ to $\text{Ker } P_1$.

Indeed, we observe that for $y = (1, -1, 0) \in \text{Ker } P_1$ we have that

$$y = (1, -1, 0) \neq (2x_1, 0, 4x_3) = A_1^0(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

for all $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \text{Ker } P_0$.

Lemma 2. If the projections sequence P is strongly invariant for the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ then the skew-evolution operator associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, P)$ has the following properties

$$(b_3) \quad Q_n B_m^n Q_m = B_m^n Q_m;$$

$$(b_4) \quad Q_m B_m^m Q_m = B_m^m Q_m = Q_m;$$

$$(b_5) \quad B_m^p Q_m = B_n^p B_m^p Q_m;$$

for all $(m, n, p) \in T$.

Proof. (b_3) We observe that for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$ we have that

$$Q_m x \in \text{Range } Q_m = \text{Ker } P_m$$

hence

$$B_m^n x \in \text{Ker } P_n = \text{Range } Q_n$$

which implies

$$Q_m B_m^n Q_m x = B_m^n Q_m x.$$

$$(b_4) \quad Q_m B_m^n Q_n \stackrel{(b_3)}{=} B_m^n Q_n \stackrel{(b_1)}{=} Q_m.$$

(b_5) If $(m, n, p) \in T$ then

$$\begin{aligned} B_m^p Q_m &\stackrel{(b_3)}{=} Q_p B_m^p Q_m \stackrel{(b_2)}{=} B_n^p A_n^p Q_p B_m^p Q_m = B_m^p Q_n A_n^p Q_p B_m^p Q_m = \\ &\stackrel{(b_2)}{=} B_n^p B_m^n A_m^n Q_n A_n^p Q_p B_m^n Q_m = B_n^p B_m^n A_m^p Q_p B_m^p Q_m = \\ &\stackrel{(b_3)}{=} B_n^p B_m^p A_m^p B_m^p Q_m \stackrel{(b_1)}{=} B_n^p B_m^n Q_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Exponential splitting with invariant projections

In this section we consider a projections sequence P which is invariant for the system $(\mathfrak{A})$. We shall denote by Q the complementary of P .

Definition 5. We say that the linear discrete-time system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an *exponential splitting (e.s.)* if there exist a projections sequence P invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and the constants $0 < a < b$, $N, c \geq 1$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N c^n a^{m-n} \| P_n x \| \quad (es_1)$$

$$b^{m-n} \| Q_n x \| \leq N c^m \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \quad (es_2)$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$. The constants a and b are called the *growth rates* of $(\mathfrak{A})$.

If the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting with

- (i) $c = 1$ then we say that $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an *uniformly exponentially splitting (u.e.s.)*;
- (ii) $0 < a < 1 < b$ then we say that $(\mathfrak{A})$ is *exponentially dichotomic (e.d.)*;
- (iii) $0 < a < 1 < b$ and $c = 1$ then we say that $(\mathfrak{A})$ is *uniformly exponentially dichotomic (u.e.d.)*;

Remark 8. The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting if and only if there exist a projections sequence P invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and four real constants $\alpha < \beta$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N e^{\gamma n} e^{\alpha(m-n)} \| P_n x \| \quad (es'_1)$$

$$e^{\beta(m-n)} \| Q_n x \| \leq N e^{\gamma m} \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \quad (es'_2)$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

For the particular case of exponential dichotomy we have

Proposition 1. *The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ is exponentially dichotomic if and only if there are three constants $N, c \geq 1$ and $d \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N c^n d^{m-n} \| P_n x \| \quad (ed_1)$$

$$\| Q_n x \| \leq N c^m d^{m-n} \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \quad (ed_2)$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Proof. Necessity. If $(\mathfrak{A})$ is (e.d.) then there are a projections sequence P invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and constants $0 < a < 1 < b, N, c \geq 1$ such that the inequalities are satisfied. If we denote by $d = \min\{a, \frac{1}{b}\}$ then $d \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N c^n a^{m-n} \| P_n x \| \leq N c^n d^{m-n} \| P_n x \parallel$$

$$\| Q_n x \| \leq N c^m b^{-(m-n)} \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \leq N c^m d^{m-n} \| A_m^n Q_n x \parallel$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Sufficiency. It is immediate. $\square$

Proposition 2. *If the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting then there exists a projections sequence P invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ such that for every $(m, n) \in \Delta$ the restriction of A_m^n to $\text{Ker } P_n$ is injective.*

Proof. If system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an (e.s.) with projections sequence P and $x \in \text{Ker } P_n \cap \text{Ker } A_m^n$ then by Definition 5, we obtain

$$\| x \| = \| Q_n x \| \leq N b^{n-m} c^m \| A_m^n Q_n x \| = N b^{n-m} c^m \| Q_m A_m^n x \| = 0$$

hence $x = 0$. $\square$

For the case of reversible systems we can give a necessary and sufficient condition for (e.s.) by

Theorem 3. *The reversible system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting if and only if there are a projections sequence P invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and the constants $0 < a < b, N, c \geq 1$ such that*

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N c^n a^{m-n} \| P_n x \| \quad (res_1)$$

$$b^{m-n} \| (A_m^n)^{-1} Q_m x \| \leq N c^n \| Q_n x \| \quad (res_2)$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the equivalence $(es_2) \iff (res_2)$. If (es_2) holds then

$$\begin{aligned} b^{m-n} \| (A_m^n)^{-1} Q_m x \| &= b^{m-n} \| Q_n (A_m^n)^{-1} x \| \\ &\leq N c^m \| A_m^n Q_n (A_m^n)^{-1} x \| \\ &= N c^m \| Q_n x \| \end{aligned}$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Conversely, from (res_2) it results

$$\begin{aligned} b^{m-n} \| Q_n x \| &= b^{m-n} \| (A_m^n)^{-1} Q_m A_m^n Q_n x \| \\ &\leq N c^n \| Q_m A_m^n Q_n x \| \\ &= N c^n \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \end{aligned}$$

for every $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$. $\square$

Theorem 4. *Let P and R be two projections sequences with complementarily Q and S . Let P and Q be exponentially bounded and $\text{Range } P_n = \text{Range } R_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting with projections sequence P then it also admits an exponential splitting with respect to R .*

Proof. Let $M, p \geq 1$ be two constants such that

$$\| P_n \| + \| R_n \| \leq M p^n$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an (e.s.) with projections sequence P . Then, by Definition 5 and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| A_m^n R_n x \| &= \| A_m^n P_n R_n x \| \leq N a^{m-n} c^n \| R_n x \| \\ &\leq M N a^{m-n} (cp)^n \| x \| = N_1 a^{m-n} c_1^n \| x \| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b^{m-n} \| S_n x \| &\leq b^{m-n} \| I + P_n - R_n \| \cdot \| Q_n x \| \\ &\leq 2M b^{m-n} p^n \| Q_n x \| \leq 2M N p^n c^m \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \\ &= 2M N p^n c^m \| A_m^n (I + R_n + P_n) S_n x \| \\ &\leq 4M^2 N p^{m+n} c^m \| A_m^n S_n x \| \\ &= N_1 c_1^m \| A_m^n S_n x \|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$, where $N_1 = 4M^2 N$ and $c_1 = p^2 c$. $\square$

4. Exponential splitting with strongly invariant projections

In this section we consider the particular case of exponential splitting with projections sequence strongly invariant for a linear discrete-time system.

Let $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B(X)$ be a projections sequence strongly invariant for the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ and let $B : \Delta \rightarrow B(X)$, $B(m, n) = B_m^n$ be the skew-evolution operator associated to the pair of $(\mathfrak{A}, P)$.

Theorem 5. *The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting with the projections sequence P if and only if there are $0 < a < b$ and $N, c \geq 1$ such that*

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N c^n a^{m-n} \| P_n x \| \quad (es_1'')$$

$$b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq N c^m \| Q_m x \| \quad (es_2'')$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Proof. We have only to prove the equivalence $(es_2) \iff (es_2'')$

Necessity. We observe that from (es_2) , (b_1) and (b_3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| &\stackrel{(b_3)}{=} b^{m-n} \| Q_n B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq N c^m \| A_m^n Q_n B_m^n Q_m x \| = \\ &= N c^m \| Q_m A_m^n B_m^n Q_m x \| \stackrel{(b_1)}{=} N c^m \| Q_m x \| \end{aligned}$$

for every $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Sufficiency. Similarly, from (b_2) and (es_2'') it results

$$\begin{aligned} b^{m-n} \| Q_n x \| &\stackrel{(b_2)}{=} b^{m-n} \| B_m^n A_m^n Q_n x \| = b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m A_m^n x \| \\ &\leq N c^m \| Q_m A_m^n x \| = N c^m \| A_m^n Q_n x \| \end{aligned}$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$. □

Corollary 6. *The linear discrete-time system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a uniform exponential splitting with projections sequence P (strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$) if and only if there exist $0 < a < b$ and $N, c \geq 1$ such that*

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N a^{m-n} \| P_n x \| \quad (ues_1)$$

$$b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq N \| Q_m x \| \quad (ues_2)$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Now we introduce a new concept of exponential splitting by

Definition 6. We say that the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a *strong exponential splitting (s.e.s.)* if there exist a projections sequence P strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and the constants $0 < a < b$, $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N c^n a^{m-n} \| x \| \quad (ses_1)$$

$$b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq N c^m \| x \| \quad (ses_2)$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

For the particular case $c = 1$, we say that system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a *uniform exponential splitting* (u.e.s.).

The particular cases $0 < a < 1 < b$ respectively $0 < a < 1 < b$ and $c = 1$ leads to the notions of *strong exponential dichotomy* (s.e.d.) respectively *uniform strong exponential dichotomy* (u.s.e.s.).

Remark 9. The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a strong exponential dichotomy if and only if there are a projections sequence P strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and the constants $0 < a < b$ and $N, c \geq 1$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n \| \leq N c^n a^{m-n} \quad (ses'_1)$$

$$b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m \| \leq N c^m \quad (ses'_2)$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$.

Remark 10. The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a strong exponential splitting if and only if there are a projections sequence P strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and four real constants $\alpha < \beta$, $\gamma > 0$ and $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n \| \leq N e^{\gamma n} e^{\alpha(m-n)}$$

$$e^{\beta(m-n)} \| B_m^n Q_m \| \leq N e^{\gamma m}$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$.

For the particular case of strong exponential dichotomy we have

Remark 11. The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ is strongly exponentially dichotomic if and only if there exist a projections sequence P strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ and three constants $N, c \geq 1$ and $d \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n \| \leq N c^n d^{m-n} \| P_n \|$$

$$\| Q_n \| \leq N c^m d^{m-n} \| A_m^n Q_n \|$$

for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$.

A connection between (s.e.s.) and (e.s.) presents the following

Theorem 7. *The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a strong exponential splitting with projections sequence P if and only if $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an exponential splitting with respect to P and P is exponentially bounded.*

Proof. Necessity. We assume that system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a (s.e.s.) with respect to P . Then, from (ses_1) for $m = n$, it results that P is exponentially bounded. The implications $(ses_1) \implies (es_1)$, respectively $(ses_2) \implies (es_2)$ result by substitution of x with $P_n x$ in (ses_1) respectively of x with $Q_m x$ in (ses_2) .

Sufficiency. If the projections sequence P is exponentially bounded then there exist $M, p \geq 1$ such that

$$\| P_n \| + \| Q_n \| \leq Mp^n,$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a (e.s.) with respect to P it results (via Theorem 5) that there exist $0 < a < b$ and $N, c \geq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \| A_m^n P_n x \| &\leq Na^{m-n} c^n \| P_n x \| \leq MN a^{m-n} (pc)^n \| x \| \\ &= N_1 a^{m-n} c_1^n \| x \| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq N c^m \| Q_m x \| \leq N_1 c_1^m \| x \|$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$, where $N_1 = MN$ and $c_1 = pc$. $\square$

In the particular case when $c = 1$, we obtain

Corollary 8. *The system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits uniform strong exponential splitting with projections sequence P if and only if $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits uniform exponential splitting with respect to P and P is bounded.*

Remark 12. If the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a strong exponential splitting then it also admits an exponential splitting. The following example shows that the converse is not true.

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the norm

$$\| x \| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} \text{ for } x = (x_1, x_2) \in X.$$

Let (P_n) be a sequence in $B(X)$ defined by

$$P_n(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 + (2^{n^2} - 1) x_2, 0 \right).$$

It is a simple verification to see that (P_n) is a projections sequence with the complementary

$$Q_n(x_1, x_2) = \left((1 - 2^{n^2}) x_1, x_2 \right).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_n x\| &= 2^{n^2} \|x\|, \\ \|Q_n x\| &= (2^{n^2} - 1) |x_2| \leq \|Q_m x\|\end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_m P_n = P_n, \quad Q_m Q_n = Q_m, \quad Q_m P_n = 0$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

We consider the linear discrete-time system $(\mathfrak{A})$ defined by the sequence (A_n) given by

$$A_n = 2P_n + 4Q_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We observe that

$$A_n P_n = P_{n+1} A_n = 2P_n, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

hence (P_n) is invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$. The evolution operator asociated to $(\mathfrak{A})$ is

$$A_m^n = 2^{m-n} P_n + 4^{m-n} Q_m, \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \Delta.$$

We shall prove that (P_n) is strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$.

Let $(m, n) \in \Delta$. In order to prove the injectivity of A_m^n we consider

$$x = Q_m z \in \text{Ker } P_n \quad \text{with } A_m^n x = 0.$$

Because

$$0 = A_m^n x = A_m^n Q_n z = 4^{m-n} Q_m z,$$

it follows that $z \in \text{Ker } Q_m = \text{Range } P_m$ and hence

$$x = Q_n z = Q_n P_m z = 0.$$

To prove the surjectivity of A_m^n from $\text{Ker } P_n$ to $\text{Ker } P_m = \text{Range } Q_m$, let $y = Q_m z \in \text{Ker } P_m$. Then

$$x = 4^{n-m} Q_n z \in \text{Ker } P_n$$

with

$$A_m^n x = 4^{n-m} A_m^n Q_n z = Q_m z = y.$$

Thus $P = (P_n)$ is strongly invariant for system $(\mathfrak{A})$ and the skew-evolution operator associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, P)$ is

$$B_m^n Q_m = 4^{n-m} Q_n, \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \Delta.$$

Furthermore, from

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq 2^{m-n} \| P_n x \|$$

and

$$4^{m-n} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| = \| Q_n x \| \leq \| Q_m x \|$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$, it results that $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an (u.e.s.) (hence an (e.s.)) with respect to (P_n) .

If we suppose that $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a (s.e.s.) with projections sequence (P_n) then, by Theorem 7, it results that (P_n) is exponentially bounded, which is a contradiction because

$$\| P_n \| = 2^{n^2}, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 13. If the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a uniform exponential splitting then it also admits an uniform exponential dichotomy. The previous example shows that the converse implication is not valid. More precise, if we suppose that system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a uniform exponential dichotomy then there are two constants $N \geq 1$ and $d \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N d^{m-n} \| P_n x \|\text{ for all } (m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X.$$

In particular, for $m = 2n$ we have that

$$\left(\frac{2}{d} \right)^n \leq N$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction.

Remark 14. It is obvious that (u.e.s.) $\implies$ (e.s.). The following example shows that the converse implication is not true.

Example 3. Let (P_n) be the projections sequence considered in Example 2 and the linear discrete-time system $(\mathfrak{A})$ defined by the sequence (A_n) given by

$$A_n = 2^{a_n - a_{n+1}} P_n + 4^{a_{n+1} - a_n} Q_{n+1},$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{n}{1 + 2 \cos^2 \frac{n\pi}{2}}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have the evolution operator associated to $(\mathfrak{A})$

$$A_m^n = 2^{a_n - a_m} P_n + 4^{a_m - a_n} Q_m, \text{ for all } (m, n) \in \Delta$$

and respectively

$$B_m^n Q_m = 4^{a_n - a_m} Q_n, \text{ for all } (m, n) \in \Delta$$

the skew-evolution operator associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, P)$. We observe that for all $(m, n) \in \Delta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_n - a_m &= \frac{n - m}{3} + \frac{2n \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{2}}{3 \left(1 + 2 \cos^2 \frac{n\pi}{2}\right)} - \frac{2m \sin^2 \frac{m\pi}{2}}{3 \left(1 + 2 \cos^2 \frac{m\pi}{2}\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{n - m}{3} + \frac{2n}{3} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$a_m - a_n \geq \frac{m - n}{3} - \frac{2n}{3}.$$

Then

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| = 2^{a_n - a_m} \| P_n x \| \leq 4^{\frac{2n}{3}} 2^{-\frac{1}{3}(m-n)} \| P_n x \|$$

and respectively

$$4^{\frac{1}{3}(m-n)} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq 4^{\frac{2}{3}m} \| Q_m x \|,$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$. Finally, we observe that for $N = 1$, $a = 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, $b = 4^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $c = 4^{\frac{2}{3}}$ the system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an (e.s.).

If we suppose that system $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits an (u.e.s.) then there exist the constants $N \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$2^{a_n - a_m} \| P_n x \| = \| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N e^{\alpha(m-n)} \| P_n x \|,$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$. In particular, for $n = 2k + 1$ and $m = n + 1$ it follows that

$$2^{\frac{4k+1}{3}} \| P_n x \| \leq N e^{\alpha} \| P_n x \|,$$

which is a contradiction.

Remark 15. We observe that Example 2 shows that (u.e.s.) $\nRightarrow$ (s.e.s.). The following example presents a system $(\mathfrak{A})$ which admits (s.e.s.) and it does not admits (u.e.s.).

Example 4. Let $X = l^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space considered in Example 2 and let (P_n) be the projections sequence defined by

$$P_n(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_0 + (2^n - 1)x_1, 0, x_2 + (2^n - 1)x_3, 0, \dots)$$

with the complementary

$$Q_n(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = ((1 - 2^n) x_1, x_1, (1 - 2^n) x_3, x_3, \dots).$$

It is immediate to see that

$$\| P_n x \| = 2^n \| x \| \quad \text{and} \quad \| Q_n \| = (2^n - 1) \sup_{n \geq 0} |x_{2n+1}| \leq \| Q_m x \|$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Let $(\mathfrak{A})$ be the linear discrete-time system defined by the sequence

$$A_n = 2^{a_n - a_{n+1}} P_n + 4^{a_{n+1} - a_n} Q_{n+1},$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{n}{1 + 2 \cos^2 \frac{n\pi}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As in Example 3 it follows that (P_n) is strongly invariant for $(\mathfrak{A})$ with

$$A_m^n = 2^{a_n - a_m} P_n + 4^{a_m - a_n} Q_m,$$

$$B_m^n Q_m = 4^{a_n - a_m} Q_n, \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \Delta.$$

Then

$$\| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq 4^{\frac{2n}{3}} 2^{-\frac{1}{3}(m-n)} \| P_n x \| \leq 4^{\frac{5n}{3}} 2^{-\frac{1}{3}(m-n)} \| x \|$$

and

$$4^{\frac{m-n}{3}} \| B_m^n Q_m x \| \leq 4^{\frac{2m}{3}} \| Q_m x \| \leq 4^{\frac{5m}{3}} \| x \|,$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$.

Thus $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a (s.e.s.) with respect to (P_n) . If we suppose that $(\mathfrak{A})$ admits a (u.e.s.) with respect to (P_n) then there are $N \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$2^{a_n - a_m} 2^n \| x \| = 2^{a_n - a_m} \| P_n x \| = \| A_m^n P_n x \| \leq N e^{\alpha(m-n)} \| x \|$$

for all $(m, n, x) \in \Delta \times X$. In particular for $m = 2k + 1$ and $n = 2k$ we obtain

$$4^{k+3} \leq N e^{\alpha}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction.

Remark 16. The connections between the four splitting concepts considered in this paper can be synthesized in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (u.s.e.s.) & \implies & (u.e.s.) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ (s.e.s.) & \implies & (e.s.) \end{array}$$

The presented examples shows that the implications $(s.e.s.) \Rightarrow (u.s.e.s.)$, $(e.s.) \Rightarrow (s.e.s.)$, $(e.s.) \Rightarrow (u.e.s.)$, $(u.e.s.) \Rightarrow (u.s.e.s.)$, $(e.s.) \Rightarrow (u.s.e.s.)$, $(u.e.s.) \Rightarrow (s.e.s.)$ and $(s.e.s.) \Rightarrow (u.e.s.)$ are not valid.

Finally, we obtained that the studied splitting concepts are distinct. As a particular case, similar conclusions hold for the dichotomy concepts defined in this paper.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we consider three concepts of exponential splitting using two concepts of projections sequences: invariant and strongly invariant for general noninvertible and nonautonomous linear discrete-time systems in Banach spaces. These concepts are natural generalizations of some well-known concepts of dichotomies. Characterizations of these concepts of exponential splitting and connections (implications and counterexamples) between them are exposed.

References

- [1] *R.P. Agarwal*, Difference equations and inequalities: theory, methods and applications, Second edition, Marcel Dekker, 2000.
- [2] *B. Aulbach, J. Kalbrenner*, Exponential forward splitting for noninvertible difference equations, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, 42 (2001), 743-751.
- [3] *B. Aulbach, S. Siegmund*, The dichotomy spectrum for noninvertible systems of linear difference equations, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 7 (2001), 895-913.
- [4] *L. Barreira, M. Fan, C. Valls, Z. Jimin*, Robustness of nonuniform polynomial dichotomies for difference equations. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 37 (2011), no. 2, 357-376.

- [5] *L. Barreira, C. Valls*, Robust nonuniform dichotomies and parameter dependence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 373 (2011) 690-708.
- [6] *L. Barreira, C. Valls*, Lyapunov sequences for exponential dichotomies, *J. Differential Equations*, 246 (2009), 183-215.
- [7] *L. Barreira, C. Valls*, Quadratic Lyapunov sequences and arbitrary growth rates, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 26 (2010), 63-74.
- [8] *A. Bento, C. Silva*, Stable manifolds for nonuniform polynomial dichotomies, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257 (2009), 122-148.
- [9] *A. Bento, C. Silva*, (μ, ν) -dichotomies and local dynamics of difference equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), no. 1, 78-90.
- [10] *L. Berezansky, E. Braverman*, On exponential dichotomy, Bohl-Perron type theorems and stability of difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 304 (2005), 511-530.
- [11] *C.V. Coffman, J.J. Schäffer*, Dichotomies for linear difference equations, *Math. Ann.*, 172 (1967), 139-166.
- [12] *W.A. Coppel*, *Dichotomies in Stability Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [13] *J.L. Daleckiĭ, M.G. Krein*, *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space*, Trans. Math. Monographs, vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1974.
- [14] *D. Henry*, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [15] *N.T. Huy, N.V. Minh*, Exponential dichotomy of difference equations and applications to evolution equations on the half-line, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, Vol. 42 (2001), 301-311.
- [16] *N.T. Huy, V.T. Ngoc Ha*, Exponential dichotomy of difference equations in l_p -phase spaces on the half-line, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, Article ID 58453 (2006), 1-14.
- [17] *J.L. Massera, J.J. Schäffer*, *Linear Differential Equations and Function Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

- [18] *G. Papaschinopoulos, J. Schinas*, Criteria for an exponential dichotomy of difference equations, Czechoslovak Math. J., 35(1985), issue 2, 295-299.
- [19] *O. Perron*, Die Stabilitätsfrage bei Differentialgleichungen, Math. Z. 32(1), 1930.
- [20] *M. Pinto*, Discrete dichotomies, Comput. Math. Appl., 28(1994), 259-270.
- [21] *I.-L. Popa, M. Megan, T. Ceaşu*, Exponential dichotomies for linear discrete-time systems in Banach spaces, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 6(2012), 140-155.
- [22] *P. Preda, M. Megan*, Criteria for exponential dichotomy of difference equations, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Mat.-Inform., vol XXVI (1988), 49-55.
- [23] *C. Pötzsche*, Geometric Theory of Discrete Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [24] *A.L. Sasu*, Exponential dichotomy and dichotomy radius for difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 344(2008), 906-920.
- [25] *L. Ta*, Die Stabilitätsfrage bei Differenzengleichungen, Acta Math., 63 (1934), 99-141.
- [26] *J. Zhang, M. Fan, H. Zhu*, Necessary and sufficient criteria for the existence of exponential dichotomy on time scales, Comput. Math. Appl., 60(2010), no.8, 2387-2398.
- [27] *L. Zhou, K. Lu, W. Zhang*, Roughness of tempered exponential dichotomies for infinite-dimensional random difference equations, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013), 4024-4046.